THE EISENHOWER MYTH

By MYRON C. FAGAN

PREFACE

Beginning in 1949, we cited various activities of Dwight Eisenhower in a number of our "*News-Bulletins.*" Two or three issues were almost exclusively devoted to his then current activities. For one example, our report of the 1952 Republican Convention was devoted entirely to the theft of that nomination — and the "*deals*" in which he was the pivotal figure . . . "*Chief Justice Earl Warren*" was just one of many such deals.

In 1954 several World War II key military figures urged me to publish a few of the more pertinent features in Eisenhower's World War II background, *particularly the one about his deliberate deliveries of the Balkans, Eastern Europe and BERLIN to Moscow.* In response to those urgings I wrote "THE EISENHOWER MYTH," which we issued as our February, 1955, "*News-Bulletin.*"

It is needless for me to add that a 24 page "*News-Bulletin*" hardly scratched the surface of this man's chicaneries; of his horrifying betrayals; of his collaborations with Moscow. A complete report would require *many hundreds* of pages. However, brief as it was, "THE EISENHOWER MYTH" provided a concise and comprehensive picture of Eisenhower's delivery of all those territories and peoples to Stalin — it clearly established that all of our Berlin crises *(as well as all the present ones)* are due entirely to the foul machinations of this phoney "*Military Genius*" throughout that period in World War II when he was the Supreme Commander of the Allied Armies —it established beyond even a remote doubt that when, *on June* 5, 1945, Eisenhower boxed us *(and the French and the British)* into a zone in Berlin which has neither an entrance nor an exit, *except through territory which he delivered to Moscow,* he provided the Communists with the key to the conquest of the world . . . *conquest not by war, but by terrorization!*

I stress the "*not by war*" for a very simple reason: despite all his bluster and threats, Krushchev *dreads* the very thought of war. Because he KNOWS that a war with the West, whether Nuclear or otherwise, would spell the end for him and for the entire Communist Conspiracy. He KNOWS that the very moment he would launch such a war, flaming revolt would erupt in every Moscow-enslaved satellite, and that all of those enslaved peoples would *joyously* hunt down and slaughter all Russians in their lands — he KNOWS that similar revolts would explode in the Ukraine, and even in Russia proper. I am sure that he vividly remembers what happened to Hitler and Mussolini, and that even in his dreams and nightmares

he envisions the same kind of end for himself — *if war should ever come!* No, Mister Krushchev will never *deliberately* launch a bomb that would bring a Mussolini-like end for himself.

With all that, I do not mean to imply that there is no possibility of war. War *could* break out any moment. But if it does, it will be by accident — *and that accident,* when and if it will happen, *will come as a direct result of Eisenhower's gift of Berlin to Moscow!*

WHY THIS RE-ISSUE

During the three years that followed our issuance of "*The Eisenhower Myth*" we were forced to print almost a score of editions to satisfy the demand — and, bear in mind, only a few small and isolated newspapers reviewed it, and virtually all book-sellers were *pressured* into ignoring it. But in 1958 the demand slowed down to a trickle. Having almost a full edition for our reserve supply, we instructed our printer to melt the plates.

Then came the "*John Birch Society*" controversy. The entire "*smear*" attack was based on Robert Welch's charge that . . . . "*Dwight Eisenhower is a dedicated, conscious agent of the Communist Conspiracy . . .*" Unfortunately, Mr. Welch had failed to *document* his charges. Actually, that was the only feature that made the "*Society*" vulnerable to the "*smear*" attacks. Either to convince themselves of the truth in the Welch statement, or to use it as a rebuttal to the "*smears,*" many members of the "*Society*" ordered copies of "*The Eisenhower Myth.*" The demand assumed such proportions that it virtually wiped out our entire stock. Finally the demand died down — and we felt that there was no need for a new re-print.

But then came the new Berlin Crisis. Immediately after Kennedy was inaugurated, *his* State Department, undoubtedly to cover up their own ineptness and betrayals, began to issue statements which charged Eisenhower with deliberate betrayal of Berlin to Moscow — also, at least by innuendo, that he was directly responsible for the delivery of Cuba to Castro and Communism. In August of this year, they issued an official pamphlet, entitled "*Background Berlin* — 1961." At one point, this pamphlet stated:

> *"The Western Armies could have captured Berlin or at least joined in capturing it. But the supreme allied commander, General Eisenhower, believed that they could be more usefully employed against the major German forces elsewhere. As a result the Soviets captured Berlin . . ."*

A story, headlined "IKE INCENSED AT STATE DEPARTMENT," hit the front pages all over the country on September 11 and 12. In that story Ike furiously refuted the State Department's "*Report*" with the following statement:

> "*The decision on not sending the American forces into Berlin was made at the highest POLITICAL level and not by the commander in the field . . .*" He added forcefully that he had "*merely carried out orders.*"

Obviously, Eisenhower has a very poor memory, or else he is a deliberate, but clumsy, liar. Because, during a Question and Answer period at the Bar Association meeting in New York City on March 3, 1949, he stated:

> "*Concerning the Berlin matter, I must make one thing clear. Your question seems to imply that the decision not to march into Berlin was a political decision. On the contrary there is only one person in the whole world responsible for that decision. That was I. There was no one who interfered with me in the slightest.*"

He *stressed* that same statement in his book, "CRUSADE IN EUROPE," ghost-written for him by Joseph F. Barnes, probably the most notorious Red in the American newspaper world.

In 1948, on orders from Truman, the State Department prepared a complete dossier on Eisenhower. The file includes all of Eisenhower's coded messages to Stalin and others of the Soviet high command in Moscow. They reveal what happened in the Balkans, particularly in Czechoslovakia, following the surrender of the Germans. They show that Ike fulfilled completely the instructions of Stalin when he stopped General George Patton at the very gates of Prague by cutting off his gasoline supplies — and kept him immobilized until the Russians arrived and took over.

Those records have never been published. And in official circles in Washington it is commonly known that when he moved out of the White House, Eisenhower took the entire file with him to Gettysburg.

As a result of this recent State Department charge that Eisenhower is directly responsible for all of the Berlin crises, from June 5, 1945, to the present day, we have had a constantly growing demand for "*The Eisenhower Myth*" . . . which reveals that treasonous betrayal in all its stark details — hence our decision to issue this *verbatim* reprint, exactly as published in 1955.

Published by

CINEMA EDUCATIONAL GUILD, INC.

P. O. Box 46205, Cole Br., Hollywood 46, Calif.

MYRON C. FAGAN, NATIONAL DIRECTOR
Organized to Combat Communism

FEBRUARY, 1955 - - News Bulletin

RE-ISSUED OCTOBER, 1961

Based on Myron C. Fagan's address to the Fellows of the Cinema Educational Guild at their meeting on January 24, 1955, at the Ebell Club in Los Angeles, California.

CONTENTS:

UNSCRUPULOUS "LEADERS" DESTROYING U. S.

The pages of history tell us of the rise and fall of all the great nations. The fall of every one of them was caused by unscrupulous leaders. Some acquired their leadership through heritage, some through personal craft and guile, some as tools of cliques and cabals.

History shows that all such leaders were small and petty men — even though some of them left behind them records of great military achievement. Envy, jealousy, vindictiveness, personal greed, were their ruling characteristics and are reflected in the records of the nations' histories.

Rome was at her peak in the days of Julius Caesar. Even today the glory and greatness of ancient Rome are coupled with his name — but it was his unscrupulous greed that sowed the seeds of destruction for that nation . . . France was a virile and great nation when a little Corsican opportunist strutted onto that scene. Napoleon was unquestionably a great military genius, but with that he was a vain, selfish, vindictive, unscrupulous little man. He gave France a transitory military glory, but his sole objective was personal aggrandizement — he callously sacrificed the youth of the land, sold a potential French empire in America to finance his wars — and sowed the seeds for the gradual decadence of France. Carthage was one of the world's great nations when Hannibal assumed leadership. He had a personal hate for Rome. He knew that a war with Rome could well mean death to his nation. But personal vengeance came first with him — and he led his people to total destruction.

In more recent days we have seen what Mussolini's "Leadership" did to the Italian people — what the Austrian paperhanger did to the German people. And those of us who refuse to emulate the ostrich can see what our own great (?) leaders are doing to the United States.

GEORGE WASHINGTON SAID:

"If the citizens of the United States should ever not be completely free and happy, the fault will be entirely their own."

When our nation was conceived our Founding Fathers sought a way to perpetuate *for us* all of the freedoms they had fought for. They did it by providing a Constitution that ordained for us a Government of the people, for the people, to be controlled by the people. They set up a two-party system, with a secret ballot, through which the people could freely vote and select their choice of those who would operate the Government. Thus, the men we elect into office, whether they be Councilmen, Congressmen, or a President, are the servants of the American people, not the rulers, masters or overlords. All of them are subject to the will of the people, not the people to their will. As long as we, the people, remember that — *and don't permit our elected servants to forget it* — our freedoms will be secure . . . as long as we stand guard over *and preserve* our Constitution the United States will remain a free country. That was what Washington meant when he said that if we ever lose our freedom, the fault will be entirely our own.

Our two major Parties are the Democratic Party, organized by Thomas Jefferson, and the Republican Party, which came into being in 1860 with Abraham Lincoln as its first candidate. Both Parties have always had their faults and flaws — as all political parties are bound to have — but disloyalty to country was not one of them; both parties have harbored opportunists, charlatans and crooks, but until 1912 not one of them ever attempted to attack or circumvent our Constitution, And, *I repeat*, as long as that Document stands as our bulwark our nation will retain its freedom — *but only that long!*

FIRST PARTY OF TREASON

The Internationalist is a peculiar kind of a nomad. Whether, by accident of birth, he is an American, a Briton, a Frenchman, or a Russian, he scorns loyalty to homeland and to people. He is without honor, as we know that word, without scruple, without decency. His only objective in life is domination of the world and mastery over all humanity.

As far back as before the turn of this century the Internationalists realized that as long as the United States remained free their hope of world conquest could not be realized. And there was only one thing that stood between them and conquest of America — *our*

Constitution. For years that was their most baffling problem. They could not destroy our freedoms as long as the Constitution stood on guard — and they couldn't destroy the Constitution as long as our freedoms guarded it, the principal freedom being the right to vote as we see fit. In the early years after the turn of the century they decided that the key to their problem lay in getting control of one of our Major Parties — and use our own votes to circumvent and finally destroy our Constitution. Their choice fell on the Democratic Party. From 1860 to 1912 the Democratic Party elected only one President, Cleveland. The Democratic Bosses were very hungry. They lacked money for aggressive campaigns. So when, in 1910, Bernard Baruch walked into the Democratic headquarters in New York and offered to become their sugar daddy he was welcomed with great joy — and that was the beginning of the end for the Democratic Party as an AMERICAN political organization.

But that was only the first phase of the Conspiracy — control of a political party, even a winning one, was not enough. For the full success of their objective the man in the White House would have to be one without scruple, without honor, without loyalty to the American people — an unconscionable charlatan who would carry out all orders leading to the final scuttling of the Constitution.

WILSON THEIR FIRST STOOGE

Whatever else may be said of the Internationalists — and one would have to resort to billingsgate for the proper saying — we must concede that they are a fiendishly clever people. They know to a T the kind of political pap the *mass* of the American people dote on: our President must be a man of great respectability — that is, *outwardly;* his integrity must be beyond question or doubt; he must have great intellect and leadership qualities. At least, during his electioneering campaign, he must evidence facsimiles of each and every attribute. In 1912 the Internationalists came up with just such a candidate in Woodrow Wilson. True, the quarrel between William Howard Taft and Teddy Roosevelt did more than anything else to elect Wilson, but in that year of 1912 the Internationalists achieved their twin objective — control of the Democratic Party and of the White House . . . *They were all set!*

Today it is common knowledge that Woodrow Wilson was just a figure head — that Col. House and Bernard Baruch "*ran the show*"

during that Administration, yet it was that same carefully chosen Wilson who upset the applecart for the Internationalists at that time.

Wilson was a very vain man. He loved personal glory above all things. During his "*Here Comes the Conquering Hero*" tour in Europe, at the conclusion of the First World War, he made such a complete jackass of himself that when he returned to the United States and tried to lure Congress into that "*League of Nations*" trap, the Henry Cabot Lodge of that period virtually heaved him out of office — and with him went the Democratic Party and its Internationalist masters.

FDR THEIR PERFECT MACHIAVELLI

However, as we have learned from bitter experience, those crafty schemers never quit. They were not idle during the 12 Republican years that followed. They tightened their hold on the Democratic Party — and came up in 1932 with Franklin D. Roosevelt as their Machiavelli. The "*saint*" turned out to be a perfect choice. He was bolder, yet cagier than Wilson — far more crafty and smoother. Except for his arbitrary "*Recognition*" of Russia, he moved with caution during his first term — and the Democratic Party continued to pose as the Party of the Constitution, the Party of "*the Common Man,*" the Party of limited government. But after he was re-elected in 1936 he promptly threw away all pretense of being the defender of the Constitution and of the sovereignty of the United States. He threw away the platform on which he had been elected; broke all promises; launched a war on the Constitution; packed the Supreme Court to facilitate the disfigurement of the Constitution and to reduce the States to mere provinces of the Federal Government. The sincere — even though fuzzy brained — socialist reformers who had been lured into the Democratic Party by promises were gradually shunted aside and replaced by outright Reds and Internationalists, such as Alger Hiss, Harry Dexter White, Remington, Acheson, Owen Lattimore, etc., until all the important bureaus and agencies of the government were swarming with them — and the wheels of treason began to roll.

In short, 1937 was the year in which the Internationalist control of the Democratic Party came out into the open. It is hardly necessary to outline the step-by-step process they employed to transform that party into a mere puppet of the Internationalist Front

"*Americans for Democratic Action.*" By now that entire scheme is quite well known to all alert Americans — today, the A D A is thoroughly exposed and unmasked. But we must not assume that it is entirely "kaput;" an outfit like that is like a rattlesnake — always dangerous until completely destroyed. It may even be just wishful thinking to believe that relations between the regular old line Democrats and the ADA have been *completely* broken — although there is no doubt that they have reached the severance point. As proof, even such erstwhile ADA enthusiasts as Adlai Stevenson and Averell Harriman are now giving them the cold shoulder. Although they, as well as other prominent Democrats, deny a rupture, they have refused to speak at the ADA's rounds of dinners given annually in memory of Franklin D. Roosevelt, their political saint. With the possible exception (as yet) of Eleanor Roosevelt, all members of that family are very much in the doghouse and are no longer star attractions, with the result that ADA's annual celebrations this year have been pretty much a flop.

Now that its program of extreme and radical social and economic demands have been exposed for what they really are, the ADA has become a drag on the Democratic Party in the opinion of the practicing politicians. In fact, it has come to be regarded as a sort of Roosevelt Memorial Association, and there are many Rooseveltian memories which the men planning for a White House comeback would like to forget.

(Note:—With the election of Kennedy the ADA has come back into power. Ed.)

REPUBLICANS "POISONED" SAME WAY

That brief outline of the methods employed by the Internationalists to capture the Democratic Party serves one important purpose — it reveals that that is their *pattern* for all such operations — they employed the very same tactics in their "*take over*" of the Republican Party in 1952 . . . it is a mirror which reflects that the politcal change wrought bv the 1952 election was in name only — that instead of Roosevelt being the name of the Internationalists' Machiavelli, today the name is Eisenhower . . . that it is their same old "*New Deal*" that is to transform *(they hope)* the United States into a Unit of an Internationalist One World Government.

THE MYTH THAT ELECTED IKE

Chiefly, this document is written for the purpose of exploding the Eisenhower Myth — the myth that he is a great military

genius — the myth that he is a great humanitarian — the myth that he is our Messiah — the myth that landed him in the White House. This document will establish with FACTS that this myth was created and built up by the Internationalists in order to dazzle and blind the American people and thus enable Eisenhower to complete the job begun by Roosevelt. There will be no personal theorizing in this exposition, no conclusions based on "*hearsay.*" Every statement will be a FACT documented in official RECORDS. I stress that assurance because in this day and age the Red, the Internationalist, the One-Worlder, is proclaimed a noble "*humanitarian*" striving zealously for PEACE — whereas the patriot is denounced as a seditionist, a vilifier, a character assassin. This document will more than ever "*brand*" me as a vile patriot, a rabble rouser and character assassin — to which I merely retort: look at the RECORD!

THE BIRTH OF THE MYTH

Back in the days of the "*Bonus March*" on Washington — *which was Red inspired and organized* — General Douglas MacArthur appointed a minor aide to command the troops assigned to prevent disorder and threatened violence. The name of that aide was Dwight D. Eisenhower. Later, when MacArthur left for his important post in the Philippines, the same Eisenhower, now a Major, went along as one of his aides. But not long after that MacArthur shipped him back to Washington. The records indicate that while Major Eisenhower could play a fair game of bridge, was a pretty good golfer, and was an omnivorous reader of Westerns, MacArthur found him of little *practical* value as an aide.

It was during those later days in Washington that Eisenhower first came to the attention of Bernard Baruch. Then, as now, that park bench philosopher was a master talent scout for the Internationalists. Apparently he saw a perfect tool in Eisenhower. Baruch brought him to the attention of Roosevelt, of Frankfurter, of George Catlett Marshall. And right then and there the build-up got under way.

In keeping with my promise, I will merely call attention to the recorded FACT that that lowly Major Eisenhower, with no background of achievement to warrant it, was jumped over some *two hundred and fifty* Colonels and Generals and installed as Supreme Commander of all (except the Russians) the allied armies . . . this

very ordinary barracks soldier was placed in command over brilliant war-tried Generals such as Patton, Bradley, Mark Clark, etc., etc.! *Anything significant in that?*

And at once the press and radio went into high gear to build up the Eisenhower Myth!

EISENHOWER'S ADVISORY STAFF

At this point it is pertinent to identify the members of the advisory staff that surrounded Ike in London — a staff presumably chosen by himself — that FACT had great bearing on every decision he made. His "*Chief of Information*" was James Paul Warburg, one of the chief partners in Kuhn, Loeb & Co., the International Banking outfit that had financed Trotsky and Lenin. Aside from that, James Paul Warburg is notoriously pro-Communist on his own account, a rabid Internationalist and One-Worlder; in addition, he is a high official and financial backer of the "*United World Federalists*" and other One-World outfits. Ike's "*Special Adviser on Political Affairs*" was Captain E. M. Warburg, another of that sinister clan; his Naval Attache was John Schiff, grandson of Jacob Schiff, the sugar daddy of the Communist Party; as a "*Special Adviser on Refugee Affairs*" Ike had one Samuel Rifkind, a member of the Wall Street law firm of Weiss, Paul and Rifkind, which has defended many notorious Reds such as Harry Bridges and Oppenheimer. Carol Weiss King, sister of Louis Weiss, senior partner of this firm, was the lawyer for the Communist Party of America. In short, the most influential members of the Eisenhower Advisory Staff were Internationalists and rabid pro-Communists.

There is one other item (among the many) of great significance— the infamous conference which Eisenhower held in his London headquarters with traitor Harry Dexter White, at which the evil Morganthau Plan was perfected and put into effect. The objective of that plot was to transform Germany into a purely agricultural nation, remove all of her industrial plants and equipment *to Russia* — and thus make all of Europe safe for Communism. White, *as was even then known*, was Moscow's top spy in the United States.

EISENHOWER'S WAR RECORD

Again, I will deal only with recorded FACTS — and only with those acts which are directly responsible for the tragically chaotic state of the world today.

From the very moment that Roosevelt dragged the United States into the war Stalin set up a scream for a "*Second Front*". And he wanted that "*second front*" in France — on the theory that that would force Hitler to concentrate his fighting forces in that area and leave all the rest of Europe to Russia.

On the other hand, Winston Churchill, who knew every quirk in Stalin's brain, demanded that the "*second front*" should be launched through the Mediterranean — the "*underbelly of Europe,*" as he called it — for the express purpose of cutting Russia off from the Balkans and of all of Europe proper. In short, he recognized the real dangers and wanted Russia sealed off at her ancient borders and thus keep Europe safe.

Patton and all the other expert military strategists strongly agreed with Churchill — and Ike admitted that that *was* the proper strategy. But at that infamous secret meeting at Teheran Stalin again demanded that France be the site for the second front, and — over the furious protests of Churchill — *Eisenhower obeyed Stalin!*

Even so, Moscow could very easily have been sealed off from all of Europe; because even though Hitler had left only skeleton forces in the Balkans and Eastern Europe, the inept Russkies made very slow headway against them. It was General Patton, our truly greatest fighting General in the European area, who slashed through the Germans like a hot knife through soft butter. He was on the outskirts of the Balkans even before the Red Armies had fought their way out of Russia proper. Patton could have taken all of the Balkans in a matter of days. But Stalin wanted the "*honor*" of "*liberating*" Czecho-Slovakia, Bulgaria, Romania — and Eisenhower *ordered* Patton to hold off so as to enable the *brave* Russian "*Liberators*" to be the first to march into Prague, Belgrade, etc., etc. *Patton rejected the order!* Ike did not dare to court martial him for it — but he had another way to stop him: he shut off all of Patton's supplies. Without gasoline Patton's tanks couldn't roll — *and thus EISENHOWER handed all of the Balkans over to Moscow!*

Now Berlin! The American and British armies were virtually in the suburbs of Berlin many weeks before the Russkies were able to get there. But again Stalin demanded that his *brave* "Liberators" be the first to march into Berlin — and again the *generous* Eisenhower ordered our armies to mark time. The Germans *pleaded* with Eisenhower to march in and accept their surrender, but he ignored them — *and Moscow "captured" Berlin!*

Later Eisenhower alibied that an assault on Berlin would have taken many lives and he preferred that it be Russian lives instead of American. That alibi has a noxious stench—no assault would have been necessary, because, as previously stated, the Germans dreaded capture by the Russians and pleaded with Eisenhower to accept their surrender.

Churchill was aghast and furious when Eisenhower immobilized Patton's tanks at the very gates of the Balkans . . . he was still more enraged when Eisenhower reserved the "*capture*" of Berlin for the Russians. And as Eisenhower continued to clear the way for the Russian further advance into Europe, Churchill realized that if he didn't take drastic action they would soon be right on the shores of the Channel. Thereupon he sent an urgent message to Montgomery directing him to be prepared to rearm the hundreds of thousands of surrendered Germans and attack the Russians on every front if they advanced so much as another mile. Churchill simultaneously served notice of his order on Eisenhower, with the further warning that there would be a prompt and full scale attack on Berlin, unless that city would be placed under the joint control of *all* the Allies.

Ike was a mightily frightened man. He knew Churchill meant business; he also knew that if he tried to intervene the combined British and Germans would, of necessity, have to attack the American armies — and he'd have no phony alibi to explain *that* away to the American people. He gave Churchill no argument . . . he hurriedly notified the Russians of Winnie's ultimatum . . . the Russkies just as hurriedly halted in their tracks. There is no doubt that were it not for that ultimatum Eisenhower would have permitted the Russkies to march through all of Europe right to the Channel. Anyway, Churchill thought so — and he made no secret of that incident. Both he and Montgomery have confirmed it more than once. The Pentagon may have destroyed its file dealing with it, but the British have not.

There are so many other untold stories of Eisenhower's War Record one hardly knows where to begin and where to end. So I will conclude with the one incident that establishes beyond any possible debate that he had been playing Moscow's game throughout the war. This particular incident has to do with the Berlin zoning system *set up by Eisenhower.*

At the time that Eisenhower was so frantically fighting the Bricker Amendment, Lucius Clay, heading a self-styled "*Committee For Defense of the Constitution,*" composed of a motley crew of One-

World Internationalists, came to his support and launched a vicious attack on the Amendment with the following widely publicized statement:

> *". . . The necessity of calling Congress into special session and, even if Congress were already in session, holding committee hearings and floor debate before the President could make such urgent and vital agreements as the arrangements with Great Britain and France for the Berlin Airlift, would cripple our military strength to resist aggression just as effectively as the loss of a number of our best divisions or air wings . . ."*

(NOTE:—The make-up of the "Committee For Defense of the Constitution" is startlingly revealing — the Board of Directors, just to name a few, is composed of Lucius Clay, John W. Davis, Edward S. Corwin, Clark M. Eichelberger, Arthur J. Goldsmith (ADL Big Mouth), Albert Edelman, Will Clayton, Owen J. Roberts, Bishop G. Ashton Oldham, Cass Canfield, Herbert Bayard Swope, etc. All are notorious Internationalists and One-Worlders, Directors of "Atlantic Union", UWF, and variously of Red Fronts. Not one loyal American in the entire gang. Ed.)

That statement, signed by Clay, the General who was in command of the Berlin Airlift, created a gale of raucous laughter in military circles all over the world. Clay, of course, intended that statement to eulogize Eisenhower, but, actually, the Berlin Airlift is one of the blackest marks in Eisenhower's career — *because if it were not for Eisenhower we never would have had to have a Berlin Airlift.*

That statement re-opened the question of how it happened that the United States got stuck with a zone in Berlin which has neither an entrance nor an exit *except through Russian territory!* That was the only reason the Berlin Airlift, so costly in money and lives, was necessary.

Laying aside for the moment the fact that Eisenhower was the Supreme Commander and had the full say in such matters, one would expect that a military commander — as brilliant as Eisenhower *is supposed* to be — when he assumed responsibility for an area such as Berlin, for the lives in that area, *for his own soldiers*, would have insisted on full provisions to get in and out of the place at all times and under any circumstances. *Eisenhower did not do that!* Bear in mind, it was by *his* authority, *as Supreme Commander*, that the territory assigned to the Russians completely surrounded the American Zone. He did that on June 5, 1945 *after Churchill warned him* that the Communists are a far greater menace to the peace and

freedom of the world than the Nazis ever were! Also, by that time there were plenty of other evidences that Stalin and his gangsters were out for world conquest. Yet, this supposedly brilliant military tactician blithely went ahead and deliberately boxed us in in a zone without an entrance and an exit . . . and while our Press and Radio were making a great to-do over the heroics of the Berlin Airlift, *and the entire attention of the American people was concentrated on it*, Moscow walked off with China!

That brings up a very interesting question: would MacArthur have boxed himself in as Eisenhower did? Would Patton? Would Stratemeyer? Would even a Sergeant with just a scant knowledge of the simple ABC's of military tactics? — *unless with intent aforethought!?*

I suggest that it is putting it mildly to say that, wittingly or unwittingly, Supreme Commander Eisenhower gave the Russkies quite an assist in their plot to conquer the world for Communism . . . and if he did it unwittingly, I'm afraid the great man would have to be set down as a military moron.

EISENHOWER - THE HUMANITARIAN

The following incident took place in the period immediately following the surrender of the Germans. It is immaterial whether it be considered part of his war record, or post-war. Its chief significance lies in its bearing on Eisenhower's "*humanitarianism*."

That word, humanitarianism, is the main theme song of the Internationalists. "*Peace*," "*Democracy*," "*Workers' Paradise*," "Utopia," are all wrapped up in the word. Wilson was a great "*humanitarian*" . . . Roosevelt was a *super* "*humanitarian*" . . . Truman was, too — even though *he* didn't even know how to pronounce the word. And from the moment they began to groom Eisenhower, the Internationalists began to build up a great reputation of "*humanitarianism*" for him. But now lets dig into that reputation — lets look at the RECORD.

At *Yalta*, Roosevelt, the "*humanitarian*" and great friend of Labor, agreed to recognize *slave* labor. Russia employed slave labor throughout the war. In their discussions at Yalta, Stalin blandly indicated that he intended to continue to employ slave labor after the war. The Germans had captured hundreds of thousands of

Russian soldiers — many of whom had deliberately surrendered in order to get out of Stalin's clutches. In addition, there were hundreds of thousands of Russian civilians who had fled to Germany and Western Europe to escape Stalin's tyranny *before the war*. Nevertheless, Roosevelt agreed, *at Yalta*, that *all* Russian Nationals in Germany under American jurisdiction should be handed over to the Russians — *"for repatriation"*. There was absolutely no doubt that the great bulk of those hundreds of thousands of hapless human beings would be executed upon reaching Russian territory, or be consigned to slave labor camps in Siberia. Nevertheless, upon conclusion of that secret agreement, *General Eisenhower used American troops* to drive them into concentration camps from which they were to be delivered to the Russians. But then came another problem: the Russkies lacked transportation equipment for such a mass movement, again General Eisenhower came to the rescue: he provided *American trucks* and other *American* conveyances. All of the *"repatriates,"* men, women and *children*, frantically pleaded not to be sent back to certain death or slavery — *thousands of them committed suicide to escape it* — but Eisenhower remained deaf to their pleas.

NOTE: This very "repatriation" atrocity was the impasse in the Korean truce talks, with Russia vindicating the Reds' demands for forced repatriation of all prisoners, by pointing to the Yalta agreement in which "humanitarian" Roosevelt had endorsed it and "humanitarian" Eisenhower had fulfilled it!

I will not go into the horrible details of that *"repatriation"* . . . nor of similar *"repatriations"* in Poland, Czecho-Slovakia, in Romania, Bulgaria and Hungary . . . nor of the plot to absolve the Russians of that Katyn Forest massacre of 10,000 *loyal* Polish officers. I will conclude by merely saying: *"let's have no more talk of Eisenhower's humanitarianism."*

EISENHOWER'S POST WAR RECORD

Now, just to give Eisenhower all benefit of all doubts, let us say that he was *"soft"* to the Communists during the war because *"Russia was our Ally."* What was his attitude toward them *after* the war, after it became fully apparent that they never were our allies — that, in fact, they had always been our mortal enemy?

Upon his return to the United States, Eisenhower was informed that he could make a million dollars, more or less, by writing his war memoirs. He decided to go after that million. Fair enough — everybody was doing it. But Mr. Eisenhower is not a writer. It was necessary for him to hire a "*ghost writer.*" That was quite understandable — Harry Truman had to do it, too. Ike had his choice of thousands of fine AMERICAN writers for the job — but he chose Joseph Barnes, *the most notorious Red* in our whole world of journalism. WHY?

IKE: "NO REDS IN COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY"

In 1948 Eisenhower was handed the Presidency of Columbia University. It is common knowledge that Columbia University is a Communist hotbed — even more so than Harvard, or the University of Chicago. Yet, when Ike was asked what he was going to do about "*combing*" the Reds out of the Columbia Faculty, he grinned and blandly replied: "*There are no Reds in Columbia University.*"

Simultaneously with Eisenhower's induction as President, Communist Poland gave Columbia $30,000 to finance a new Chair of Polish Studies for three years! Professor Ernest J. Simmons, head of the Department of Slavic languages at Columbia and staff member of its Russian Institute — *financed by the Rockefeller Foundation* — arranged the deal with the Red Polish Government for that $30,000 grant. This same Simmons was a Board Member and former Chairman of the notorious "*American-Russian Institute,*" cited as a subversive Red Front by the Department of Justice; he was an editorial writer for "*Soviet Russia Today*" and "*New Masses,*" both Communist publications; he was head of the pro-Communist Teachers Union at Harvard; a vice-Chairman of the "*American Labor Party;*" a zealous member and Sponsor of the "*League of American Writers,*" plus many other outright Red Fronts. When all that was brought to Ike's attention, he blandly replied: "*There are no Reds in Columbia University.*"

The man Simmons "*selected*" to occupy that Chair of Polish Studies — *financed by Red Poland* — was one Dr. Manfred Kridl. Kridl had come to the United States several years before that Poland-Columbia deal. He got a job as a teacher at Smith College. But just as the Poland-Columbia deal was coming to a head he

suddenly gave up his post and hurried to Poland to be *"briefed"* for his new job. When Ike confirmed Kridl in that new job he was told of his Red background . . . Ike brushed it off and blandly replied: *"There are no Reds in Columbia University."*

In 1949 a gang of Internationalists and Red-Fronters organized the *"Committee For a Free Europe."* It was headed by Clark M. Eichelberger, then also President of the *"American Association for the United Nations."* The Directors were virtually the same as those previously named in connection with the *"Committee For Defense of the Constitution" — all One-Worlders and pro-Reds.* In 1950 this *"Committee"* decided to launch the phony *"Crusade For Freedom,"* also called *"Radio Free Europe" . . . General Eisenhower was their Chief Sponsor!*

THE ALGER HISS INCIDENT

At the time the Alger Hiss conviction exploded in Truman's face and he was forced to eat his *"Red Herring,"* Eisenhower deplored all public *"ribald"* criticism of that statement — because *"it demeaned Truman's high office."* He overlooked (?) the fact that we were criticizing the *man,* not the *high office!* He also overlooked (?) that what we were criticizing was the shielding of a Red spy *by the misfit in that high office!*

While on the Hiss matter, it might be of interest to note that Eisenhower was in close proximity with that traitor when both were affiliated with the *"Council on Foreign Relations"* in New York . . . also, when the question was raised as to whether Alger Hiss should be paid a pension by our Government, *President* Eisenhower emphatically stated that he should get it. The fact that the public uproar frightened him into hurriedly retracting that statement only emphasizes his *"mistake."*

Then came the horrifying revelations that our Atom Bomb secrets had been betrayed to Moscow . . . that spies in our State Department had for years been transmitting to Moscow all of our Top Drawer Security secrets . . . that the White House was shielding the spies in the State Department and other Federal Agencies via a *Presidential order* to the FBI not to submit the files to a Senate Investigation Committee. Again Eisenhower *deplored* the indignation expressed by prominent AMERICANS — on the ground that *"it de-*

stroyed unity." What unity? — the unity of the Red traitors working to destroy our country? That little question leads to a far more important one: who in the State Department, the War Department, or in any other department of our government, is so powerful that whenever we bump up against a Russian spy, a Russian Spy Ring, an American in Moscow's service, the spies are protected and the Americans who uncover them are repudiated and attacked and even eliminated from public life? — as for example: General Douglas MacArthur, Joe McCarthy, Martin Dies, Parnell Thomas. The safety of our nation depends upon the answer to that question . . . *I'll come back to that later!*

Going a bit further: when Truman shocked and rocked the nation with his vicious dismissal of our Rock of Asia, General MacArthur, and it was announced that MacArthur was returning to America, Eisenhower, looking *greatly disturbed*, said: "*I hope he* (MacArthur) *will shut up and not make it a controversial issue.*"

Eisenhower knew that the only "*controversy*" about that matter was the FACT that the head of the U.N. military secretariat was a Moscow Red who had been relaying information to the Red Chinese how to ambush and slaughter our sons — was that the "*controversy*" he wanted hushed-up? . . . a "*controversy*" which even today is a dagger poised at the very throat of the United States as a nation! ! !

"THE KING CAN DO NO WRONG"

In the Middle Ages a King could lie, steal, rape, murder, without being called to account. Even a whisper about it constituted lese majesty — for which the whisperer could be imprisoned, and even executed.

Mr. Eisenhower's great perturbation when Truman was criticized for his "*red herring*" remark indicates that he would like to have that old lese majesty law revived and applied to the man in the White House — no matter who the man is, no matter how he got into the White House, no matter if there is prima facie evidence that the man is a liar, a charlatan, a crooked political machine "*stooge*" and menace to the nation. According to Mr. Eisenhower, the high office of the Presidency renders sacrosanct the man who occupies it. By that token, I presume that if a skunk broke into a cathedral and lodged himself on the Altar, Eisenhower would insist that said skunk be declared sacred and the congregation be prohibited from calling attention to its odor.

In our July 1952 issue I rendered a detailed report of the lies, smears, briberies and all-around crookedness that were employed to *steal* the nomination for Eisenhower. It bears repeating at every possible opportunity, so as to serve as a warning for 1956. Unfortunately, limited space prevents it in this issue. However, I will squeeze in a few of the highlights.

First of all, the Internationalists never intended to run Ike on the Republican ticket. He was to have followed Truman as the Democratic candidate. In fact, Truman issued a statement to that effect on his return from Potsdam — where he had discussed it with Ike. But by mid-1950 the Democratic Party was definitely in the doghouse. The Internationalists realized that if Taft or MacArthur were nominated by the Republican party either would sweep *any* Democratic candidate into the gutter. They decided that by hook or crook they would have to wangle the Republican nomination for Ike. The aforementioned July 1952 issue of our "*News-Bulletin*" revealed in detail all of the chicaneries and crookedness they employed to accomplish it.

The men the Internationalists employed to traipse back and forth between the United States and France to "*coax*" *and brief* Ike into allowing himself to be "*drafted*" gave the whole rotten show away from its inception: Tom Dewey, Henry Cabot Lodge, Paul Hoffman, Jim Duff, Dulles, Miltie Eisenhower, Harold Stassen, etc., etc. And his appointments, after he was elected, amply confirmed that he was in there to finish the job that Roosevelt started.

Now let's spend a moment on his campaign promises — and how he kept them: He faithfully promised to end the Korean war. He *did* — by a complete surrender to the Reds and a consequent loss for us of all prestige and respect in Asia . . . he faithfully promised to appoint an AMERICAN Secretary of State who would clear all Reds out of the State Department — he replaced Acheson with Dulles, a top functionary of "*Atlantic Union*," who not only did no "*cleaning*," but added insult to injury by appointing the notorious "Chip" Bohlen to be our Ambassador in Moscow . . . he faithfully promised to make public all of the secret agreements made by Roosevelt, specifically naming the YALTA agreement. It is now two years since his election — he has not kept his promise — *and he won't!*

Note:—When, due to great public pressure, the Yalta agreements were finally released to the press they had been so deleted and distorted that not even a brigade of Philadelphia lawyers could make head or tail of them. MCF

As we all know, Mr. Eisenhower was the most "*promising*" man who ever ran for the Presidency, but we will skip the bulk of his promises and come down to the most important one of all: he faithfully promised that there would be no White House interference with the functioning of Congress—that he would throw no obstacles in the way of Congressional Committee investigations of the infiltration of Communists in government agencies, the armed forces, defense plants, etc. He promised to remove all Executive restrictions that handcuffed and muzzled the FBI and all other Intelligence Bureaus. We will see in the following how well he has NOT kept that promise.

THE REAL TRAITORS

Three little words — WHO PROMOTED PERESS? — had our nation in turmoil for years. Those three little words virtually disrupted the Senate — halted investigations of the Red Conspiracy — split what was left of the Republican Party down the middle — and stripped Eisenhower of all the dignity and respectability the American people associate with the Presidency of the United States.

Actually, that inconsequential little Brooklyn dentist was never the real issue, just as Ethel and Julius Rosenberg, Klaus Fuchs and all the other spies were never the real issues. The real issue was *(as it still is)* — who makes it easy for spies and subversives to infiltrate the agencies of our government? It is the higher-up who opens the door to the spy, who covers up and shields him, who prevents investigation to expose him, who is the real traitor. That was particularly emphasized by the Peress case.

"RED HERRING" A LA PENTAGON

At long last, the Pentagon issued an "*official*" report on the Peress case. It states that Lt. Gen. Walter L. Weible, a deputy Chief of staff, heretofore never even mentioned, was the man who pro-

moted and gave Peress his honorable discharge — and it confirms that Army Counsel John Adams was the mastermind behind the whole ugly mess. Other than that, it tells us nothing that wasn't known last March, when Senator McCarthy first asked that now famous question. It does not tell us the name of the higher-up who enabled Peress to get a commission in the first place — even though he had officially confessed to being a "*Fifth Amendment Communist*" . . . nor does it explain why Eisenhower besmirched the Presidency of the United States by using all of the authority and influence of that high office to prevent the truth from being made known. In view of all that, one might well wonder why that Report was issued at all. The answer is very simple. Even though the American people are entitled to all such information, the Pentagon had no intention of ever issuing an official Peress Report. After Joe McCarthy was knifed, smeared and vilified into virtual impotency, they considered the matter closed — *forever!* But, lo and behold, Senators Price Daniels and McClellan announced that they would re-open the investigation — and the conspirators realized that they couldn't smear and vilify their way through again. So they hastily issued a "*red herring*" Report, in the hope that it would ward off a further investigation that might well reveal the *real* villians in the piece. This Peress Report is directly in the category of the tricked-up "*Pearl Harbor Report*" that was issued to cover up the chicanery and treachery *and murder* committed by Franklin D. Roosevelt. The only difference being that in the "*Pearl Harbor Report*" the scapegoats, General Short and Admiral Kimmel, were innocent victims, while in the Peress Report the "*scapegoats*" are as guilty as Judas. Nevertheless, they are scapegoats — thrown to the wolves to kill the scent that leads to the higher-ups.

However, there is a distinct value in that Pentagon statement — it reveals that that Army-McCarthy hearing was not "*a circus*", as Joe McCarthy described it, but a traitorous and vicious plot to prevent exposure of conditions within our Armed Forces that were — *and still are* — a frightening menace to our Country's internal security . . . it *emphasizes* that men to whom we give high places, to whom we entrust the safety of our Country, are liars and charlatans, and, in the true sense of the word, traitors to the American people. Throughout those Army-McCarthy Hearings John Adams deliberately and brazenly uttered falsehood after falsehood . . . ditto Robert Stevens . . . ditto Zwicker, whom Joe McCarthy charged was not fit for the uniform he was wearing — *a rightful charge*, as later revealed by the Pentagon Report. *And there were others!*

The most important feature of that disgraceful affair is that all those men were under oath to tell the truth. They *knowingly* committed perjury. That is a crime for which you and I would have been prosecuted and jailed. What about Stevens and Adams — did Attorney General Brownell send them to jail? . . . did Commander-in-Chief Eisenhower order a Court martial for General Zwicker? *They did not!* Why? The answer will be found in the following.

WHOM IS THE PENTAGON SHIELDING?

Early in January, 1953, Irving Peress received a commission as Captain in the United States Army. It was one of the last official acts of the then Assistant Secretary of Defense, Anna M. Rosenberg.

Within a matter of days the Pentagon Brass discovered some alarming facts about the little Brooklyn dentist. On February 5, exactly one month after he began active duty, they ordered a thorough investigation. That investigation quickly revealed a long record of flagrant pro-Communist activities. Nevertheless, *a full year* elapsed between the initiation of the investigation and his *honorable* discharge on February 2, 1954. Why?

General Weible was in charge of that investigation. Weible was the man who signed the order for the promotion and the honorable discharge — *but he did it at the insistence of John Adams!* Who were the powerful figures whose backing emboldened John Adams to apply that pressure and commit his many acts of perjury?

In Washington it is commonly known that during her comparatively brief reign, Anna M. Rosenberg had studded our armed forces with many "*Peresses.*" A public airing of the Peress investigation could hardly have failed to put the finger on Annie — and that might well have created a public outcry for investigations of *all* of Annie's appointees. That, in turn, would have been bound to point to Ike's beloved George Catlett Marshall.

HERE'S WHERE IKE CAME IN

From the moment Senator McCarthy began to dig into the Red infiltrations in Fort Monmouth, the Pentagon and the White House showed panic — especially when Joe picked up Peress. John Adams was given the job of trying to "*soft soap*" Joe into dropping the matter. No need to go further into the preliminaries — they are well known. What is not generally known is how and when Ike stepped into the mess.

Actually, he was in from the outset. Shortly after McCarthy held his first "*Monmouth*" hearing, Ike sent for Senator Taft and tried to give him the job to muzzle Joe. He didn't object — *so he said* — to the investigations, but he wanted Joe to hold all hearings in secret, and then to submit the results to him (Ike) and he (Ike) would decide whether they should be made public or not.

Remember: during his campaign Ike faithfully promised never to interfere with Congressional investigation of Red infiltrations!

Taft rejected the assignment for two reasons: 1) He wouldn't chance having Joe throw him out of his office; 2) Taft himself would not stand for that kind of White House interference with purely Congressional business.

That left Ike out on a limb — he didn't dare to tangle with Taft. Furthermore, he knew that what he had attempted was a direct assault on our Constitution, as well as on the integrity and dignity of the Senate. Under our Constitution all investigative powers are allocated to Congress; interference with such investigations by the Executive branch of the government is strictly prohibited. Ike knew that if he persisted, even through some other emissary, Taft would make such an issue of it that it might even lead to impeachment proceedings. So Ike fumed and raged and seethed — but couldn't do anything about it. But soon his proverbial Eisenhower luck came to his rescue — Taft died. Ike immediately went into action. Made cautious by Taft's reaction, he decided to go outside of Congress and his official family for his emissary. He chose George Sokolsky to deliver his "order" to Joe — *and it was an order!* Sokolsky de-

livered it — and Joe promptly rejected it.

Ike was furious. This was indeed a case of lese majesty. Right then and there he decided that Joe McCarthy would have to be destroyed. Much midnight oil was burned at the White House during the following weeks. They finally came up with the Army-McCarthy "*hearings*" plot as the perfect way to bring McCarthy's investigations to a halt — and at the same time smear McCarthy into oblivion.

The rest is too well known to need repetition here. John Adams inadvertently revealed that it was a White House plot when he told of the meetings attended by Sherman Adams, Herbert Brownell, Henry Cabot Lodge and other Eisenhower "*masterminds*" . . . Flanders emphasized it when he revealed his conversations and correspondence with Eisenhower . . . Ike himself revealed it when he so warmly congratulated Watkins on his "*magnificent job.*"

So now we know who in Washington is powerful enough to shield, cover up, and protect the spies and traitors who have infiltrated into our most sensitive Security posts — and who destroys the loyal Americans who uncover them . . . first it was Roosevelt, then it was Truman, *now it is Eisenhower!*

Do we need any more proof that Eisenhower is dedicated to the job of transforming the United States into a unit of a One World Government? I *can* name a few more significant FACTS.

For example: on January 20, 1953, Ike stood before the American people and took a solemn oath to defend and fight for the preservation of the United States, our Constitution and our Flag . . . but several years earlier, in his book, "*Crusade in Europe*", (page 459) he stated that he is *all-out for One-World government!* Now — did he, with hand on bible, falsely swear on January 20, 1953? — or was that statement in his book a falsehood? Certainly, one or the other was a falsehood. As we ponder that, we must remember that he could not have entered the White House without that oath, whereas the statement in his book was voluntary. Actually, however, these is no need to ponder — Eisenhower never misses an opportunity to eulogize "*Atlantic Union*", the UWF, and all other One-World gangs — and he goes positively hysterical in his demands that we must "*strengthen* the U.N."

And that is what makes the Formosa situation the gravest problem in our entire history. But it is not a *new* problem . . . like Korea, like Indo-China, Formosa is just another Head of the same

old Internationalist Hydra. With a Douglas MacArthur in the White House, or a Taft, or a Jenner, none of those "*Heads*" would have been problems — the United States would have handled them with such clear cut decisions that neither Red China nor Moscow would have dared to so much as peep. But with a Truman or an Eisenhower as our Chief Executive the United States meekly surrenders all initiative to the "*United Nations*" — and we suffer another and another and another humiliating defeat and loss of prestige. Recently, Eisenhower finally made a grand gesture: he asked Congress to give him a "*blank check*" that authorizes him to use his own judgment on how to solve the Formosa problem . . . he followed that up with a high sounding ultimatum that Red China could go "*so far*" and "*no further*" — but, as yet, he has not defined the "*so far*" and "*no further*" — and he still urges his beloved U. N. to arrange a "*cease fire*" truce. The question is: *at what cost?*

We must bear in mind that Eisenhower was forced into his present *United States* action by Senator Knowland and the growing restlessness of the American people — exactly as he was *forced* into action by Churchill's ultimatum ten years ago. At that time he came up with a stroke of "*military genius*" that "*halted*" the Russians — *and boxed us in in Berlin* . . . which is the chief reason why Europe can't be organized into a solid front against Moscow. Will he now come up with a similar stroke of "*military genius*" that will "*halt*" the Red Chinese, *but box us in in the Formosa Straits* — and prevent the forging of a solid Asian front against Red China?

OBJECTIVE OF THIS BULLETIN

The salvation of our nation depends upon two things: 1) abolition of the U.N.; 2) a new and loyal American political Party to which all good Republicans and Democrats can turn. There is much activity on both matters. One, or both, may be accomplished before the next Presidential election. But we must be realistic — we must not sit back and just wait for them to "*happen*" — we must work indefatigably to *make* them happen. But we must not *depend entirely* upon either happening by 1956. We must work with all might and main to "*brief*" all true Americans to prevent a recurrence of the shameful chicaneries, briberies and thievery of 1952.

Moral: *We must never again, but never, permit an Internationalist in the White House!*

CONCLUSION

When we issued "*The Eisenhower Myth*" (February, 1955), most of the American people were still accepting Ike as a "*Military Genius*" and a "*God-Man.*" True, not as enthusiastically as in the early days after he returned from the war, when his very appearance evoked cheers and ticker-tape parades, because each of the passing years had revealed another inch or two of his clay feet. Nevertheless, "*The Eisenhower Myth*" created quite a storm of disapproval, despite the prima facie evidence it contained — *evidence provided by World War II key military figures* — and I was challenged to show *officially documented* proof.

I had a similar experience when I revealed the oral agreement between Alger Hiss and Molotov whereby a Moscow Red was permanently to be head of the UN Military Secretariat. For several years both the State Department and the UN jeeringly challenged me to submit *documentary proof* of that *oral* agreement. They felt safe in that challenge — they knew that no such proof was available . . . *and then Trygvie Lie spilled their beans by providing it in his book "IN THE CAUSE OF PEACE."*

The same thing happened in the Eisenhower case. About a year after we issued "*The Eisenhower Myth,*" Sir Arthur Bryant wrote "THE TURN OF THE TIDE," a book based on the *documented* diaries of Viscount Alanbrooke, Britain's World War II Chief of the Imperial Staff. The Alanbrooke "*diaries*" revealed the shocking story of Eisenhower's utter unfitness for the post of Supreme Commander of the Allied Forces. One doesn't have to read *BETWEEN* the lines of those "*diaries*" to find that Eisenhower's chief contributions to the planning of the Second Front and the great invasion consisted of daily 18-hole rounds of golf, plus the burning of much midnight oil in intensive studies of bridge hands.

The above named book was followed by "*memoirs*" of other World War II key figures, among them being Winston Churchill.

All of them fully confirmed the charges in *"The Eisenhower Myth"* . . . virtually all of them indicated that Ike's most important function in France was his fulfillment of Stalin's instructions on how to maneuver and hold back the Allied Armies until the Russians would arrive to *"liberate"* the Balkans — *and BERLIN! ! !*

The Alanbrooke "diaries" and the various "memoirs" were reviewed in our October and November (1957) News-Bulletins, Nos. 59 and 60 . . . both are out of print at this time.

In short, Eisenhower is the modern *"Frankenstein"* who created the Berlin Monster — *which may yet bring about a Nuclear holacaust that will destroy the world!*

CPA BOOK PUBLISHER
P. O. Box 596
Boring, OR 97009
Fax: 503 668-8614
Email: cpabooks@hotmail.com